UNITED STATES DISTRICT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF I	NEW YORK	
DIOGENS MENDEZ,	x Plaintiff(s),	Justice (Chin, J) 08 CV 01281
- against -		Varified Anguar
MOHAMED M. DIALLO, LEVEL TRANS CORP., 129 BROADWAY, INC., BILL WOLF PETROLEUM CORP., and HORIZON PLANNING SERVICES, LTD.,		Verified Answer Trial by Jury Demanded
,	Defendant(s).	
	X	DODNES analysating th

The defendant(s), by their Attorney, **MARJORIE E. BORNES**, answering the complaint of the plaintiff(s) herein, respectfully allege(s) upon information and belief, as follows:

AS AND FOR ITS ANSWER TO THE COMPLAINT

1. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to each and every allegation contained in paragraph(s) "1", "2", "3", "6", "7" and "8" of the Complaint.

AS AND FOR ITS ANSWER TO COUNT I

- 2. Denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph(s) A16", "17", "18" and "19 of the Complaint.
- 3. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to each and every allegation contained in paragraph(s) "15", of the Complaint.

AS AND FOR ITS ANSWER TO THE COUNT II

- 4. Answering paragraph(s) "20" of the complaint, repeat(s), reiterate(s) and reallege(s) each and every allegation, admission and denial contained in the proceeding paragraphs of this Answer, with the same force and effect as though here again set forth at length.
- 5. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to each and every allegation contained in paragraph(s) "21", "22", "23", "24", "25", "26", "27", "28", "29", "30" and "31" of the Complaint.

6. Denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph(s) "32" of the Complaint.

AS AND FOR ITS ANSWER TO THE COUNT III

- 7. Answering paragraph(s) "33" of the complaint, repeat(s), reiterate(s) and reallege(s) each and every allegation, admission and denial contained in the proceeding paragraphs of this Answer, with the same force and effect as though here again set forth at length.
- 8. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to each and every allegation contained in paragraph(s) "34", "35", "36", "37", "38", "39", "40", "41", "42", "43" and "44" of the Complaint.
- 9. Denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph(s) "45" of the Complaint.

AS AND FOR ITS ANSWER TO THE COUNT IV

- 10. Answering paragraph(s) "46" of the complaint, repeat(s), reiterate(s) and reallege(s) each and every allegation, admission and denial contained in the proceeding paragraphs of this Answer, with the same force and effect as though here again set forth at length.
- 11. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to each and every allegation contained in paragraph(s) "47", "48", "49", "50", "51", "52" and "53" of the Complaint.
- 12. Denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph(s) "54" of the Complaint.

AS AND FOR ITS FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

13. That by reason of all of the provisions of Article 51 of the New York

Comprehensive Motor Vehicle Insurance Reparations Act, ∋5101 to ∋5108, this Court lacks

jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action and plaintiff(s) is expressly prohibited by the above mentioned law from maintaining this action.

AS AND FOR ITS SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

14. Upon information and belief, pursuant to CPLR €1412, any damages sustained by plaintiff(s) were caused in whole or in part or were contributed to by the culpable conduct and want of care on the part of plaintiff(s) and any such alleged damages should be fully or partially diminished by said culpable conduct and want of care on the part of the plaintiff(s) pursuant to CPLR Article 14-A.

AS AND FOR ITS THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

15. Pursuant to C.P.L.R. 4545, plaintiff(s)'s recovery should be reduced by any amounts received or to be received by plaintiff(s) from collateral sources of payment.

AS AND FOR ITS FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

16. If it be determined that each respective plaintiff failed to use available seat belts, defendants plead said fact in mitigation of damages.

AS AND FOR ITS FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

17. That if it be determined hereafter that plaintiff(s) or any party to this lawsuit has proceeded to arbitration with respect to any issue relevant to this action which results in an adverse ruling to said plaintiff(s) or party, then and in that event, the answering defendant(s) hereby plead said adverse ruling or award on the theory of collateral estoppel under the authority.

AS AND FOR ITS SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

18. Plaintiff(s)'s injuries do not meet the jurisdictional amount.

AS AND FOR ITS SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

19. That the liability of the defendant(s), if any, does not exceed fifty (50%) percent of the liability assigned to all persons, if any, liable and that the liability of the defendant(s), if any for non-economic loss is limited pursuant to Article 16 of the CPLR.

AS AND FOR ITS EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

20. Pursuant to 49 USC 30106, since Sukhdev Singh leased the vehicle, Level Trans
Corp has no liability for the accident and there is no cause of action stated against it, and the
complaint as against Level Transit Corp must be dismissed.

AS AND FOR ITS NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

21. Upon information and belief, at the time of the accident plaintiff was employed by defendant and this action is, therefore barred by the Worker's Compensation Statute.

AS AND FOR ITS CROSS-CLAIM AGAINST CO-DEFENDANTS MOHAMED M. DIALLO, 129 BROADWAY, INC., BILL WOLF PETROLEUM CORP. AND HORIZON PLANNING SERVICES

- 22. That if plaintiffs were caused to sustain any injuries and/or damages, as alleged in their Complaint, through the negligence, recklessness, carelessness and/or culpable conduct other than the plaintiffs' own negligence, recklessness, carelessness and/or culpable conduct, said injuries and damages were caused by the negligence, recklessness, carelessness and/or affirmative acts of omission or commission and/or breach of contract and/or other culpable conduct of the co-defendants against whom this cross-claim is pleaded and if any judgment is recovered herein by the plaintiffs against the defendant asserting this cross-claim, the said defendant(s) will be damaged thereby and demand indemnification and/or contribution against the co-defendants.
- 23. By reason of the foregoing the co-defendants against whom this cross-claim is pleaded, on the basis of apportionment of responsibility for the alleged occurrence, pursuant to Article Fourteen of the CPLR and on the basis of common law indemnification, are liable in contribution and/or indemnification to the said defendant(s) asserting this cross-claim.

AS AND FOR ITS COUNTERCLAIM AGAINST PLAINTIFF, DIOGENS MANDEZ, DEFENDANT ALLEGES

24. To the extent that it is alleged that the brakes failed on the vehicle and Plaintiff performed the brake repairs, plaintiff is solely and wholly responsible for the accident.

WHEREFORE, the defendant(s) LEVEL TRANS CORP., hereby demands judgment dismissing the Complaint with costs or, in the alternative, if the Complaint shall not be dismissed, the amount of damages otherwise recoverable against said defendant(s) shall be diminished in the proportion which the culpable conduct attributable to the plaintiff(s) bears to the culpable conduct, if any, of said defendant(s) and further demand, pursuant to Section 3019(b) of the CPLR, that the ultimate rights of the defendants in this action as amongst themselves be determined in this action, and that the cross-claiming defendant(s) have judgment over and against the co-defendants for the amount of any verdict or judgment which may be obtained herein by the plaintiff(s) against said cross-claiming defendant(s), or in the alternative, for contribution toward such verdict or judgment pursuant to Article 14 of the CPLR,

together with costs and disbursements of this action, plus any and all attorney's fees

Dated: New York, New York March 20, 2008

Yours, etc.,

MARJORIE E. BORNES, ESQ. (MEB6505)
Attorney for Defendant(s) Level Trans Corp
330 West 34th Street-7th Floor
New York, NY 10001
(212) 857-8252

TO: BARON & PAGLIUGHI, ESQS.

Peter D. Baron (PDB 8519) Attorneys for Plaintiff 85 Main Street – Suite A Cold Spring Harbor, NY 11724 (631) 367-7000

By Regular Mail:

MOHAMED M. DIALLO

2937 8th Avenue New York, NY 10039

129 BROADWAY, INC.

3225 Broadway New York, NY 10027

HORIZON PLANNING SERVICES LTD.

550 Old Country Road, Suite 410 Hicksville, NY 11801

BILL WOLF PETROLEUM CORP.

3225 Broadway New York, NY 10027

UNITED STATES DISTRICT OF N	EW YORK	
DIOGENS MENDEZ,	x Plaintiff(s),	Justice (Chin, J) 08 CV 01281
- against -		
MOHAMED M. DIALLO, LEVE 129 BROADWAY, INC., BILL CORP., and HORIZON PLANI LTD.,	WOLF PETROLEUM	
,	Defendant(s).	
CERTIFIC	ATION OF SERVICE BY	EFILING AND MAIL
STATE OF NEW YORK) COUNTY OF NEW YORK)	ss:	
TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED	was filed with the Clerk of Procedure, and/or the Sc	oing document VERIFIED ANSWER of the Court and served in accordance outhern District=s Local Rules, and/or the
depositing a true copy of same	e enclosed in a post paid per and custody of the U.S.	ne papers described above by properly addressed wrapper in a post Postal Service within the State of New
TO: SEE RIDER		
MARJORIE E. BORNES		

RIDER

By Electronic Filing:

TO: BARON & PAGLIUGHI, ESQS.

Peter D. Baron (PDB 8519) Attorneys for Plaintiff 85 Main Street – Suite A Cold Spring Harbor, NY 11724 (631) 367-7000

By Regular Mail:

MOHAMED M. DIALLO

2937 8th Avenue New York, NY 10039

129 BROADWAY, INC.

3225 Broadway New York, NY 10027

HORIZON PLANNING SERVICES LTD.

550 Old Country Road, Suite 410 Hicksville, NY 11801

BILL WOLF PETROLEUM CORP.

3225 Broadway New York, NY 10027 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK INDEX # 08 CV 01281

DIOGENS MENDEZ,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

MOHAMED M. DIALLO, LEVEL TRANS CORP., 129 BROADWAY, INC., BILL WOLF PETROLEUM CORP., and HORIZON PLANNING SERVICES, LTD.,

Defendant(s).

VERIFIED ANSWER WITH DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

MARJORIE E. BORNES, ESQ.

Attorney for Defendant(s) Level Trans Corp 330 West 34th Street - 7th Floor New York, NY 10001 (212) 857-8252

TO:		
Attorney for		
Service of a copy of the within	is hereby admitted.	
Dated	Attorneys for	
PLEASE TAKE NOTICENotice of Entry		
that the within is a true copy of a on , 200 .	duly entered in the office of the Clerk of the within Court	
Notice of Settlement that an order of which the within i, one of the Judges of the within Dated	is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the Hon. named Court, at on at A.M.	
Batea	MARJORIE E. BORNES, ESQ. Attorney for Defendant(s) Level Trans Corp 330 West 34th Street - 7th Floor New York, NY 10001	

212-857-8252

TO: Attorney for